



Phenotypic Detection of β -Lactam Antibiotics, Methicillin and Inducible Clindamycin Resistance Among Bacterial Isolates in Patients with Otitis Externa

Zahra Shahandeh,¹ Farahnaz Sadighian,¹ Keyvan Kiakojuri,² Saeid Mahdavi Omran,³ Mahsa Aghajani Mir,^{4,*} and Hanieh Babajani⁴

¹Department of Laboratory Sciences, Paramedical Faculty, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, IR Iran

²Department of ENT, Faculty of Medicine, Roohani Hospital, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, IR Iran

³Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, IR Iran

⁴Student Research Committee, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Mahsa Aghajani Mir, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Ganjafroz ST., Babol, IR Iran. Tel: +98-9359501216, Fax: +98-1132234367, E-mail: aj.mahsa@gmail.com

Received 2017 July 26; Revised 2017 September 26; Accepted 2017 October 24.

Abstract

Background: Otitis is a general terminology used for inflammation or infection of the ear; *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas* spp. are the most common causes of otitis externa. The resistance mechanism against the beta-lactams group is due to the production of β -lactamase enzymes by the bacteria; the enzymes in staphylococci are encoded by *erm* genes that confer inducible Clindamycin resistance.

Objectives: This study aimed at investigating bacterial resistance by evaluating samples collected from Otitis Externa patients admitted to Ayatollah Roohani Hospital of Babol, Iran.

Methods: Ear samples were collected from 72 patients with Otitis Externa referred to Ayatollah Roohani hospital during May 2012 to 2013. At first, the isolated bacteria were identified using appropriate differential and selective media, and then were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility testing following the disk diffusion method. Special diagnostic tests were also performed for the identification of ESBL, iAmpC, pAmpC, metallo beta lactamase producers and inducible resistance to clindamycin and methicillin resistant strains. Data were analyzed by the SPSS 22 statistical software.

Results: Among the 65 isolated bacteria, 24 (36.9%) cases were found to be gram negative and 41 (63.1%) were gram positive; pAmpC beta-lactamase producers were found to have the highest frequency in gram negative bacteria. From 36 (87.8%) isolated CoNS, 18 (50%) bacteria were found to be resistant to the methicillin group and 4 (11.1%) cases had inducible resistance to clindamycin; All isolated *S. aureus* were sensitive to methicillin and clindamycin.

Conclusions: Considering that some bacteria are concurrently able to produce different types of resistance enzymes, and also the fact that high prevalence rate of resistance belongs to CoNS, it is important and necessary to perform antimicrobial susceptibility testing as per clinical and laboratory standards institute (CLSI) methods in clinical laboratories.

Keywords: AST, Methicillin Resistance, ESBL, Otitis Externa

1. Background

β -Lactamase are the most commonly prescribed antibiotics for the treatment of infectious disease (1). The resistance mechanism against these antibiotics is due to the production of β -lactamases by the relevant gene inherited in the bacterial chromosome or in the plasmid transfer.

According to Ambler classification, Extended-Spectrum B-Lactamases (ESBLs) belong to class A and D. Also, class C and B are called AmpC enzymes (contain two types- plasmid-mediated AmpC or pAmpC and inducible

AmpC or iAmpC-) and Metallo beta lactamase, respectively (2); high prevalence of A, B, and C classes of β -lactamase producers have been reported in Enterobacteriaceae (3).

Clindamycin is an antibiotic and a derivative of Lincosamides, which inhibits bacterial activity by binding to the 50S ribosomal subunit. The most common resistance mechanism occurs within Staphylococci that is due to the *erm* gene, encoding RNA methylase through plasmids (4). Clindamycin is prescribed for the treatment of skin and soft tissue infections, in cases of bacterial antibiotic resistance against methicillin and penicillin allergy (5).

Methicillin is a derivative of the penicillin group and resistant to penicillinase. It is the first choice for the treatment of infections caused by Staphylococci (6); reports showing resistance to methicillin are increasing (5). Biomarker gene *mecA*, which is responsible for methicillin resistance, contains genes encoding resistance to other antimicrobial drugs (6). Serious infections due to this group of bacteria have had high rate of mortality and morbidity during the past 10 to 20 years, thus inhibiting the spread of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infections have been very important (7).

Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) species are known as the main cause for serious (nosocomial infection and/or acquired community) infections; they are usually resistant to many other commonly used antibiotics (4, 8, 9).

The role of coagulase negative Staphylococci (CoNS) in some infections has been proven, and it cannot be considered as a contaminant (10).

Multi drug resistant (MDR) bacteria are resistant to 3 or more different types of antibiotics (11). Since infections caused by MDR bacteria result in the reduction of antibiotic effectiveness, they have been the main medical problems for the treatment of infections in the recent years.

Otitis is a general terminology for inflammation or infection of the ear; it is one of the widely spread secondary infections among patients (12). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas* spp., and *Proteus mirabilis* are the main causes of otitis externa (13, 14).

Inappropriate use of antibiotics for the treatment of otitis externa can result in the spread of the infection to the surrounding tissues, causing critical complications, such as inflammation of internal ear, mastoiditis, thrombosis, brain, and intracranial abscess (15).

The incidence of otitis externa differs around the world; it is about 11% in developing countries, while the highest rate belongs to Ethiopia, according to the world health organization (WHO) report (16).

There has been no reliable information regarding antibiotic resistant bacteria causing otitis in developing countries, such as Iran (17).

With regards to the important role of laboratory detection of beta-lactamase-producing bacteria, and methicillin/clindamycin (inducible) resistant Staphylococci on appropriate treatment, this study aimed at evaluating bacterial resistance of samples that were collected from patients with otitis externa.

2. Methods

Ear samples were collected from 72 patients with otitis externa, suspected to have bacterial infection accompanied by fungal infection at Ayatollah Roohani's hospital from 21st of May 2012 to 21st of May 2013; all the samples were sent to the microbiology lab of Paramedical department of Babol University Medical Sciences.

Bacterial identification was performed using appropriate differential and selective media (10, 18). Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) was then performed by the disk diffusion (DD) method (19).

Antibiotic discs (Mastdiscs, UK) used for isolated bacteria are listed below:

A: Enterobacteriaceae

Cefotaxime (CTX), Cefoxitin (FOX), Ceftazidime (CAZ), Aztreonam (ATM), Ertapenem (ETP) (β -Lactams)

Gentamicin (GM) (Aminoglycosides)

Ciprofloxacin (CIP) (Fluoroquinolones)

Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole (TS) (Folate pathway inhibitors) (19)

B: *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii*

All discs listed above were included except TS, FOX, CTX and ETP for *P. aeruginosa*

All discs listed above, except ETP, ATM, and FOX, were used for *A. baumannii*.

IMP was used for them (20).

C: Gram positive cocci

Teicoplanin (TEC) (glycopeptides)

Erythromycin (E) (Macrolide)

Linezolid (LZD) (Oxazolidinones)

GM, CIP, TS (19)

Special diagnostic tests were performed for the identification of ESBL producers (double disk), mask ESBL (inhibiting methods with 3APBA) (2), pAmpC (FOX disc method) (21), iAmpC (using IMP as an inducer), and metallo beta lactamase (IMP-1 using 2-MPA method) (2).

The FOX disc was used to identify methicillin resistant strains in Staphylococci, and the D-Zone test was performed, according to the CLSI standard protocol, for inducible resistance to clindamycin (19).

The SPSS 22 software was used for statistical analysis.

3. Results

From 65 isolated bacteria, 24 (36.9 %) bacteria were found to be gram negative and 41 (63.1%) strains were gram positive bacteria.

The highest prevalence among the isolated gram negative bacteria belonged to *P. aeruginosa* (8; 33.3%) strains and among the isolated gram positive bacteria, the most common were *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (34; 82.9%).

Other isolated bacteria are listed below according to frequency:

E. coli (5), *S. aureus* (5), *Enterobacter cloacae* (3), *Enterobacter sakazakii* (1), *Citrobacter koseri* (3), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (2), Coagulase-negative Staphylococci (2), *Serratia liquefaciens* (1), *A. baumannii* (1).

The highest and lowest resistance against antimicrobial discs within the gram-negative bacteria were found to be TS (68.7%) and ETP (6.6%), respectively, and for gram-positive bacteria, these were E (48.8%) and LZD (7.3%), respectively.

The highest antibiotic resistance in isolated *P. aeruginosa* was shown to be against ATM (37.5%) (Table 1) and *A. baumannii* was sensitive to GM and IMP discs.

Among gram negative and positive bacteria, 10 (41.7%) and 16 (39%) MDR bacteria were identified, respectively. One strain of *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* were also found to be MDR.

From MDR gram negative bacteria, 5 (50%) strains were concurrently metallo beta lactamase and pAmpC producers, likewise, the isolated *A. baumannii* produced pAmpC and iAmpC enzymes, simultaneously.

The frequency of pAmpC-producing strains was found to be highest (36.8%) (Table 2).

The isolated *Enterobacter cloacae* were found to produce all beta-lactamase enzymes.

From isolated coagulase-negative Staphylococci (CoNS), 18 (50%) bacteria were found to be resistant to the methicillin group (with *mecA* gene), 4 (11.1%) isolates showed inducible resistance to clindamycin (D-zone test positive), 14 (38.9%) bacteria were MDR, and 13 (36.1%) strains were MDR and methicillin resistant, simultaneously.

All isolated *S. aureus* were sensitive to methicillin and clindamycin (D-zone test negative).

Methicillin and clindamycin (D-zone test positive) resistance was concurrently observed in one strain of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*.

4. Discussion

In this study, 11.1% of gram-negative bacteria produced ESBL and were sensitive to third generation cephalosporins. Furthermore, the rate of inducible clindamycin resistance and false sensitivity to clindamycin, according to the disc diffusion method among gram-positive cocci, was 44.4%. As a consequence, CLSI guidelines for AST, screening, and confirmatory tests are recommended to choose appropriate antibiotics.

The most common gram negative bacteria causing ear infections in the current study include:

Production of ESBL amongst *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *E. coli* was 12.5% and 80%, respectively. Moreover, the prevalence rates of AmpC-producing strains was 75% in *P. aeruginosa* and 40% in *E. coli* (Table 2).

Meeta Sharma et al. reported that 56.92% and 41.89% of *E. coli* strains were positive for the production of ESBL, respectively (22). Sari An et al. observed that 32 (17.4%) *E. coli* were positive in the screening test for pAmpC-producing strains (23).

Multidrug resistant bacteria are the major challenge to clinicians for the treatment of infected patients. In this study, 41.7% of gram-negative bacteria, including *A. baumannii*, were MDR strains and 60% were positive simultaneously for metallo beta lactamase and AmpC production. Mahdian from Tehran and Khadidja from Aljazira reported that 70.3% and 93.6% of isolated *A. baumannii* from clinical specimens were found to be MDR strains (24, 25).

The prevalence of CoNS strains has increased in the recent years; it was reported as 34.6% in Shahli's investigation (2006) (26) and 87.8% in the current study. It seems that they have been generally considered as normal flora (10) and AST based on CLSI standard methods has not been performed.

In the current study, half of the CoNS were resistant to the methicillin group. It is noteworthy to mention that among 14 MDR CoNS isolates, 3 (16.7%) strains were D-zone test positive and 2 (14.3%) strains were resistant to the methicillin group. According to studies conducted by Niedja (Brazil) and Bhatt (India), none of the CoNS isolates were D-zone test positive (5, 27).

Moreover, in this research, *S. aureus* isolates were not resistant to the methicillin group and had negative D-zone test results; although none of them were MDR strains.

However, several studies from different countries, such as Nepal and Pakistan, showed that some strains of *S. aureus* were MRSA (28, 29). Additionally, Asadullah from Pakistan and Appalaraju from India reported that 15.84% and 42.1% of MRSA strains were positive for inducible clindamycin resistance test.

The current findings showed that Staphylococci were highly resistant against new antibiotics, such as LZD (7.3%) and TEC (17.1%), consequently these antibiotics must be carefully used for patients' treatment. On the other hand, Titecat (2011) reported that CoNS strains were resistant to TEC (22%) and LZD (3.5%) (30). Jones RN (2011) observed high (99.7%) sensitivity to LZD and TEC in the isolated gram-positive cocci (31).

There are some differences between the current study and other previous studies. This could be due to differences in the type of clinical samples, medical centers and treatment procedures, geographical locations with different bacterial prevalence patterns, and resistance and level

Table 1. Frequency of Susceptibility and Resistance of Isolated Bacteria

Antibiotics	Enterobacteriaceae			<i>P. aeruginosa</i>			<i>Acinetobacter</i>			<i>S. aureus</i>			<i>S. epidermidis</i>			Coagulase Negative S.		
	S	I	R	S	I	R	S	I	R	S	I	R	S	I	R	S	I	R
FOX	7	0	8		**a				*	5	0	0	18	0	16	0	0	2
CTX	3	4	8		*		0	0	1		*		*					*
CAZ	9	5	1	7	0	1	0	0	1		*		*					*
ATM	11	0	4	3	2	3			*		*		*					*
ETP	13	1	1		*				*		*		*					*
IMP		*		4	3	1	1	0	0		*		*					*
GM	2	9	4	6	0	2	1	0	0	5	0	0	26	0	8	1	0	1
CIP	5	1	9	7	0	1	0	0	1	4	1	0	21	0	13	1	0	1
TS	5	0	10			*	0	0	1	5	0	0	20	2	12	0	0	2
TEC		*			*				*	4	1	0	24	5	5	0	0	2
E		*			*				*	5	0	0	15	1	18	0	0	2
LZD		*			*				*	5	0	0	32	1	1	0	0	2

^aThese antibiotics for mentioned bacteria were not used according to the CLSI standard protocol (19).

Table 2. Frequency of β -Lactamase Producers in Each Isolated Gram-Negative Bacteria^a

Bacteria, N	ESBL	Metallo beta lactamase	iAmpC	pAmpC
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (8) ^b	1(12.5)	1(12.5)	-	6(75)
<i>E. coli</i> (5)	4(40)	4(80)	3(60)	2(40)
<i>Enterobacter</i> (4)	-	4(100)	2(50)	2(50)
<i>K. pneumonia</i> (2)	-	2(100)	2(100)	2(100)
<i>A. baumannii</i> (1) ^b	-	-	1(100)	1(100)
<i>S. liquefaciens</i> (1) ^b	-	-	-	1(100)

^aValues are expressed as No. (%).

^bExcept *Serratia* and *P. aeruginosa*, the other bacteria were producing two or more different types of enzymes.

of education within the affected population regarding the correct use of antibiotics.

4.1. Conclusions

With regards to this study, there are noticeable differences between laboratory test results of AST, screening, and confirmatory tests. Therefore, it is suggested for all of these tests to be concurrently performed in clinical laboratories for clinicians to select the appropriate treatment.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Babol University of Medical Sciences for the approval of the study (9543218, 13 Dec, 2016) and administrative support of this research, and Dr. Evangeline Foronda for the English editing.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Zahra Shahandeh and Farahnaz Sadighian designed the study, carried out the examina-

tions, and wrote and edited the manuscript. Keyvan Kiakojuri and Saeid Mahdavi arranged the sample collection and were involved in the primary design of the study. Mahsa Aghajani and Hanieh Babajanin carried out the examinations and edited the manuscript.

Funding/Support: This study was financially supported by the vice-chancellor for research affairs of Babol University of Medical Sciences.

References

- Mahon CR, Lehman DC, Manuseles G. *Antimicrobial agents mechanisms of action and resistance. Textbook of diagnostic microbiology*. China: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2014.
- Shahandeh Z, Sadighian F, Beigom Rekabpor K. Phenotypic Detection of ESBL, MBL (IMP-1), and AmpC Enzymes, and Their Coexistence in *Enterobacter* and *Klebsiella* Species Isolated From Clinical Specimens. *Int J Enteric Pathog*. 2016;**4**(2):1-7.
- Shahandeh Z, Sadighian F, Rekabpor KB. Phenotypic study of Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase, AmpC and Carbapenemase among *E. coli* clinical isolates in affiliated hospitals of Babol University of Medical Sciences. *Int J Health System Disaster Manag*. 2015;**3**(2):74.

4. Sireesha P, Setty CR. Detection of various types of resistance patterns and their correlation with minimal inhibitory concentrations against clindamycin among methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates. *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 2012;**30**(2):165-9. doi: [10.4103/0255-0857.96678](https://doi.org/10.4103/0255-0857.96678). [PubMed: [22664431](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22664431/)].
5. Pereira JN, Rabelo MA, Lima JL, Neto AM, Lopes AC, Maciel MA. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of resistance to macrolides, lincosamides and type B streptogramin of clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus* spp. of a university hospital in Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2016;**20**(3):276-81. doi: [10.1016/j.bjid.2016.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2016.03.003). [PubMed: [27094233](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27094233/)].
6. Carroll KC, Butel JS, Morse SA, Timothy M. *Antimicrobial chemotherapy. Jawetz, Melnick and Adelberg's medical microbiology.* Toronto: Mc Graw Hill; 2007.
7. Procop GW, Church DL, Hall GS, Janda WM, Koneman EW. *Schreckende PC, Woods GL. Gram positive cocci. Koneman's color atlas and textbook of diagnostic microbiology.* Tokyo: Wolters Kluwer; 2017.
8. Bashir A, Johar J, Jawad A. Comparison of clinical methods for the phenotypic detection of Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: Disc diffusion methods with Brilliance™ MRSA agar. *Afr J Microbiol Res.* 2013;**7**(24):3096-100. doi: [10.5897/ajmr12.2177](https://doi.org/10.5897/ajmr12.2177).
9. Tiwari HK, Sapkota D, Das AK, Sen MR. Assessment of different tests to detect methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health.* 2009;**40**(4):801-6. [PubMed: [19842418](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19842418/)].
10. Mahon CR, Lehman DC, Manuselis G. *Staphylococci. Textbook of diagnostic microbiology-E-Book.* China: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2014.
11. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2012;**18**(3):268-81. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x). [PubMed: [21793988](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21793988/)].
12. Nogueira JCR, Diniz MFFM, Lima EO, Lima ZN. Identification and antimicrobial susceptibility of acute external otitis microorganisms. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol.* 2008;**74**(4):526-30. doi: [10.1016/s1808-8694\(15\)30598-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1808-8694(15)30598-x).
13. Kiakojuri K, Mahdavi Omran S, Jalili B, Hajiahmadi M, Bagheri M, Ferdousi Shahandashti E, et al. Bacterial Otitis Externa in Patients Attending an ENT Clinic in Babol, North of Iran. *Jundishapur J Microbiol.* 2016;**9**(2). e23093. doi: [10.5812/jjm.23093](https://doi.org/10.5812/jjm.23093). [PubMed: [27127584](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27127584/)].
14. Appiah-Korang L, Asare-Gyasi S, Yawson AE, Searyoh K. Aetiological agents of ear discharge: a two year review in a teaching hospital in Ghana. *Ghana Med J.* 2014;**48**(2):91-5. [PubMed: [25667556](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25667556/)].
15. Ahmad S. Antibiotics in chronic suppurative otitis media: A bacteriologic study. *Egypt J Ear Nose Throat Allied Sci.* 2013;**14**(3):191-4. doi: [10.1016/j.ejenta.2013.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejenta.2013.06.001).
16. Hailu D, Mekonnen D, Derbie A, Mulu W, Abera B. Pathogenic bacteria profile and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of ear infection at Bahir Dar Regional Health Research Laboratory Center, Ethiopia. *Springerplus.* 2016;**5**:466. doi: [10.1186/s40064-016-2123-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2123-7). [PubMed: [27119070](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27119070/)].
17. Argaw-Denboba A, Abejew AA, Mekonnen AG. Antibiotic-resistant bacteria are major threats of otitis media in Wollo Area, Northeastern Ethiopia: a ten-year retrospective analysis. *Int J Microbiol.* 2016;**2016**.
18. Tille PM. *Chapters Enterobacteriaceae, Acinetobacter, Sterotrophomonas similar organism, Staphylococcus, Micrococcus organisms". Bailey Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology.* China: Elsevier; 2014.
19. Clinical and laboratory standards institute (CLSI). *Performance standard for antimicrobial susceptibility testing.* 2014.
20. Jean B, Franklin RJA. *Performance standard for antimicrobial susceptibility testing.* Clinical and laboratory standards institute (CLSI); 2015. p. M100-S24.
21. Shafiq M, Rahman H, Qasim M, Ayub N, Hussain S, Khan J, et al. Prevalence of plasmid-mediated AmpC beta-lactamases in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* at tertiary care hospital of Islamabad, Pakistan. *Eur J Microbiol Immunol (Bp).* 2013;**3**(4):267-71. doi: [10.1556/Eu-JMI.3.2013.4.5](https://doi.org/10.1556/Eu-JMI.3.2013.4.5). [PubMed: [24294496](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24294496/)].
22. Sharma M, Pathak S, Srivastava P. Prevalence and antibiogram of Extended Spectrum beta-Lactamase (ESBL) producing Gram negative bacilli and further molecular characterization of ESBL producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2013;**7**(10):2173-7. doi: [10.7860/JCDR/2013/6460.3462](https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2013/6460.3462). [PubMed: [24298468](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24298468/)].
23. Sari AN, Bicmen M, Gulay Z. [Investigation of plasmid mediated AmpC beta-lactamases among *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from blood cultures]. *Mikrobiyol Bul.* 2013;**47**(4):582-91. [PubMed: [24237427](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24237427/)].
24. Mahdian S, Sadeghifard N, Pakzad I, Ghanbari F, Soroush S, Azimi L, et al. *Acinetobacter baumannii* clonal lineages I and II harboring different carbapenem-hydrolyzing-beta-lactamase genes are widespread among hospitalized burn patients in Tehran. *J Infect Public Health.* 2015;**8**(6):533-42. doi: [10.1016/j.jiph.2015.04.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2015.04.030). [PubMed: [26111484](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26111484/)].
25. Khorsi K, Messai Y, Hamidi M, Ammari H, Bakour R. High prevalence of multidrug-resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii* and dissemination of carbapenemase-encoding genes blaOXA-23-like, blaOXA-24-like and blaNDM-1 in Algiers hospitals. *Asian Pac J Trop Med.* 2015;**8**(6):438-46. doi: [10.1016/j.apjtm.2015.05.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtm.2015.05.011). [PubMed: [26194827](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26194827/)].
26. Shahali H, Amirabadi Farhani A. Sepsis Neonatal in Negative Coagulase *Staphylococcus* of Role hospital Ghods at Admitted Patients in (2006 Autumn-Ghazvin). *Ann Mil Health Sci Res.* 2009;**6**(4):245-8.
27. Bhatt P, Tandel K, Singh A, Kumar M, Grover N, Sahni AK. Prevalence and molecular characterization of methicillin resistance among Coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* at a tertiary care center. *Med J Armed Forces India.* 2016;**72**(Suppl 1):S54-8. doi: [10.1016/j.mjafi.2016.03.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mjafi.2016.03.007). [PubMed: [28050071](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28050071/)].
28. Ansari S, Gautam R, Shrestha S, Ansari SR, Subedi SN, Chhetri MR. Risk factors assessment for nasal colonization of *Staphylococcus aureus* and its methicillin resistant strains among pre-clinical medical students of Nepal. *BMC Res Notes.* 2016;**9**:214. doi: [10.1186/s13104-016-2021-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-016-2021-7). [PubMed: [27068121](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27068121/)].
29. Ullah A, Qasim M, Rahman H, Khan J, Haroon M, Muhammad N, et al. High frequency of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Peshawar Region of Pakistan. *Springerplus.* 2016;**5**:600. doi: [10.1186/s40064-016-2277-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2277-3). [PubMed: [27247896](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27247896/)].
30. Titecat M, Senneville E, Wallet F, Dezeque H, Migaud H, Courcol RJ, et al. Microbiologic profile of *Staphylococci* isolated from osteoarticular infections: evolution over ten years. *Surg Infect (Larchmt).* 2015;**16**(1):77-83. doi: [10.1089/sur.2013.258](https://doi.org/10.1089/sur.2013.258). [PubMed: [25650692](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25650692/)].
31. Jones RN, Castanheira M, Hu B, Ni Y, Lin SS, Mendes RE, et al. Update of contemporary antimicrobial resistance rates across China: reference testing results for 12 medical centers (2011). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2013;**77**(3):258-66. doi: [10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2013.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2013.07.003). [PubMed: [24055218](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24055218/)].