

Antibacterial activities of *Cuminum cyminum* Linn Essential Oil Against Multi-Drug resistant *Escherichia coli*

Mohammad Bokaeian¹; Yasub Shiri²; Saphora Bazi³; Saeide Saeidi^{4,*}; Zahra Sahi⁴

¹Infectious Disease and Tropical Medicine Research Center, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, IR Iran

²Department of Agronomy and plant Breeding, Agriculture Research Center, Zabol University, Zabol, IR Iran

³Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Payam nour University, Zabol, IR Iran

⁴Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kerman, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Saeide Saeidi, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kerman, IR Iran. Tel: +98-9153495398, Fax: +98-5422240696, E-mail: s.saeidi2@yahoo.com

Received: December 15, 2013; Revised: February 26, 2014; Accepted: March 4, 2014

Background: Development of antibiotic resistance among pathogenic bacteria motivates the researchers to search for newer antimicrobial agents.

Objectives: In the present study, antibacterial effects of *Cuminum cyminum* Linn. essential oil against multidrug resistant (MDR) *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from urinary tract infections were studied, using microdilution method.

Materials and Methods: A total of 12 *E. coli* strains were isolated from urine cultures of hospitalized patients (Zabol, southeastern Iran) suffering from urinary tract infection during 2011-2012. After bacteriological confirmatory tests, minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of the essential oil of *C. cyminum* Linn. were determined using microdilution method. Essential oil of *C. cyminum* Linn. was obtained by hydro-distillation and the MICs were investigated to characterize the oil antimicrobial activities.

Results: All of *E. coli* isolates were resistant to four of the antibiotics including ceftazidime (50%), cefixime (41.6%), tetracycline (75%) and erythromycin (58.3%). The highest MIC value (250 ppm) was observed against two antibiotics and the lowest (10 ppm) against one antibiotic.

Conclusions: *C. cyminum* Linn essential oil has a potent antimicrobial activity against *E. coli* MDR strains. Our study confirms the use of this essential oil as an antibacterial agent. However, further research will be required before its therapeutic application.

Keywords: *Escherichia coli*; Oils, Volatile; *Cuminum*

1. Background

The currently used feed additives such as antibiotics, probiotics and prebiotics in broiler diets to enhance nutrient utilization, play important roles in antibiotic resistance development among pathogens and saprophyte bacteria (1). The search for components with antimicrobial activities has recently gained increasing importance, due to the growing worldwide concern about the alarming increase in the rate of infections by antibiotic-resistant microorganisms. Due to their antibacterial activities against bacterial pathogens, medicinal plants are very important in human health. *Cumin* (*Cuminum cyminum* L.) originates from Egypt and Ethiopia and is much cultivated in Arabia, Malta, Sicily, India and China. *Cumin* seeds are used to flavor foods and liquors and its oil is utilized in perfumes and cosmetics. *C. cyminum* Linn. is an annual plant of the Umbelliferae family with anti-

oxidant, anticholesterol and antimicrobial properties. Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the second most common type of infections in the body and *E. coli* is the most common bacterial pathogen causing UTI (2). Different antimicrobial agents with high levels of activity against Gram-negative bacilli, including amikacin, ciprofloxacin, fosfomycin, gentamicin and nitrofurantoin, have shown acceptable levels of activities against this bacterium. Unfortunately, rapid appearance and development of drug resistance among these bacteria have caused a lot of difficulties in the modern world.

2. Objectives

In the present study, antibacterial activities of *C. cyminum* Linn. essential oil against multidrug resistant (MDR) *E. coli* strains isolated from UTIs were determined, using microdilution method.

Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:

The present study evaluated the antibacterial effects of *Cuminum cyminum* Linn seed extract and represented a potential template for design of antibacterial agents to decrease bacterial resistance and overcome the drug resistance problem. *C. cyminum* Linn has potent antimicrobial activities against antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* strains. However, further research is required to evaluate the practical values before therapeutic usage.

Copyright©2014; Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center.. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Isolation of Bacteria

A total of 12 strains of *E. coli* were isolated from urine cultures of hospitalized patients (Zabol, southeastern Iran) suffering from UTIs, during 2011-2012. The isolated bacteria were identified and evaluated by Gram staining and standard biochemical tests (3).

3.2. Antibiotic Susceptibility Test

Susceptibility to all antibiotics was tested using standard disc diffusion method, as recommended by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (4). Briefly, a colony suspension was prepared using sterile normal saline, equivalent to the 0.5 McFarland standard, and spread over the Mueller Hinton agar plate. Afterwards, the antibiotic discs were transferred aseptically to the surfaces of the inoculated media plates. Antibiotics and their concentrations were as follows: ceftazidim: 30 µg, tetracycline: 30 µg, erythromycin: 15 µg and ceftazidime: 30 µg. *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as the control strain.

3.3. Plant Materials

Seeds of *C. cyminum* Linn. were collected from suburban areas of Kerman (southeastern Iran) and dried at room temperature in Kerman Azad University herbarium. Afterwards, the samples were crashed, transferred into a glass container, and preserved until the extraction process was performed in the laboratory.

3.4. Distillation of Essential Oil

The seeds were ground prior to the operation, and then, 300 g of the ground powder was submitted to water distillation for four hours, using a Clevenger apparatus. The distilled essential oil was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and stored at 4°C.

3.4. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of Essential Oil

The broth microdilution method was used to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). Briefly, serial double dilutions of the extract in Mueller Hinton broth, containing 0.5% (V/V) tween 80 over the ranges of 250, 100, 50 and 10 ppm, were prepared and added to a 96-well microtiter plate. To each well, 10 µL of indicator solution and 10 µL of Mueller Hinton broth were added. Finally, 10 µL of bacterial suspension (10⁶ CFU/mL) was added to each well to achieve a concentration of 10⁴ CFU/mL of the bacteria. The plates were wrapped loosely with cling film to prevent the bacteria dehydration. The plates were prepared in triplicates, and placed in an incubator at 37°C for 18–24 hours. MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of the essential oil, at which the microorganism did not demonstrate any visible growth. Average of the three values was calculated to provide the MIC values for the tested extract.

4. Results

4.1. Antibiotic Susceptibility

Antibiotic full resistance profile of the *E. coli* isolates was as follows: tetracycline (75%), erythromycin (58.4%), ceftazidime (50%) and cefixime (41.7%). Moderate resistance was observed in only 25% and 8.3% of the isolates against erythromycin and cefixime, respectively (Table 1). About 25% of the *E. coli* isolates showed resistance to all the antibiotics, whereas 8.3% and 25% showed resistance to three and two antibiotics, respectively (Table 2).

4.2. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration Assessment for Essential Oil

Different inhibitory effects of essential oil against most *E. coli* isolates were demonstrated in Table 2. The essential oil had inhibitory effects against most of the isolates. About 8.3% and 16.6% of the *E. coli* isolates showed the lowest MICs (10 and 50 ppm, respectively), while moderate (100 ppm) and highest (250 ppm) MIC values were seen in 41.6% and 16.6% of the isolates, respectively.

Table 1. Antimicrobial Susceptibility of *Escherichiacoli* isolates ^a

	Ceftazidime	Erythromycin	Cefixime	Tetracycline
Sensitive	6 (50)	2 (16.6)	6 (50)	3 (25)
Intermediate	0	3 (25)	1 (8.3)	0
Resistant	6 (50)	7 (58.4)	5 (41.7)	9 (75)

^a Data are presented in No. (%).

Table 2. Antimicrobial Susceptibility and Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of the Essential Oil for *Escherichiacoli*^{a, b}

Bacterial Code	Antibiotic Susceptibility				MIC for Essential Oil, ppm	Resistance Pattern
	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₄		
1	R	R	R	R	100	A ₁ , A ₂ , A ₃ , A ₄
2	R	I	R	S	250	A ₁ , A ₃
3	S	R	R	R	NO	A ₂ , A ₃ , A ₄
4	R	R	R	R	100	A ₁ , A ₂ , A ₃ , A ₄
5	R	R	R	R	50	A ₁ , A ₂ , A ₃ , A ₄
6	S	S	I	S	50	-
7	S	S	S	S	10	-
8	I	S	S	R	100	A ₄
9	R	S	S	R	250	A ₁ , A ₄
10	R	S	I	R	100	A ₁ , A ₄
11	S	S	S	R	NO	A ₄
12	R	R	R	R	100	A ₁ , A ₂ , A ₃ , A ₄

^a Abbreviations: I, intermediate; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; NO, Any inhibition; R, resistant; S, sensitive.

^b A₁, erythromycin; A₂, cefixime; A₃, ceftazidime; A₄, tetracycline.

5. Discussion

In the present study, *E. coli* strains were resistant to four of the agents, including tetracycline (75%), erythromycin (58.3%), ceftazidime (50%), cefixime (41.6%). Different results were reported by other investigators in different geographical areas. For example, Shayan et al. reported antibiotic susceptibility of the AmpC-producing *E. coli* isolates as follows: erythromycin (92.3%), tetracycline (92.2%) nalidixic acid (84.6%), cefixime (84.6%), difloxacin (84.6%) azithromycin (76.9%), amoxicillin (76.9%), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (76.9%) and gentamicin (76.9%) (5). Madani et al. reported antimicrobial resistance to ampicillin (91.4%), cotrimoxazole (61.1%), cefixime (46.8%), gentamicin (43.3%), ceftazidime (38.8%) and nalidixic acid (38.5%) (6). In the study of Heidari-Soureshjani et al. the highest resistance was reported to ampicillin (85.71%), nalidixic acid (78.78%), and ciprofloxacin (46.51%) (7). In the recent years, essential oils of plants have been in high demand from the manufacturers of foods flavoring, fragrance, cosmetics, and pharmaceutical industries, due to the growing interest of consumers to ingredients from natural sources. In our study, 8.3% and 16.6% of the *E. coli* isolates showed the lowest MICs (10 and 50 ppm respectively), while moderate (100 ppm) and highest (250 ppm) MIC values were seen in 41.6% and 16.6% of the isolates, respectively. Inhibitory effects of *Cumin* extract on *E. coli* O:157 has been demonstrated previously (8). Other authors have also shown the antimicrobial activities of hexane extract and volatile components (9), water extracts or juices (10), and methanolic extracts of *C. cyminum* against different bacterial strains. For example, in the study of Vaishnavi et al. *Cumin* seeds were effective at lower concentration against *Salmonella typhi* and *E. coli* O:157 isolates (11). Soniya et al. reported the largest diameter of in-

hibition zone related to methanol extracts of *C. cyminum* against *Bacillus subtilis*, *E. coli* and *Proteus* sp. (12). As the study of Steffanini et al. reported, essential oil of *C. cyminum* was active against different Gram-negative bacteria, including *E. coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Salmonella* sp. with inhibitory zones of 18 mm, 10 mm and 23 mm, respectively (13). Con et al. reported that *Cumin* had inhibitory effect against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Micrococcus luteus* (14). Akgul and Kivanc reported that *Cumin* exhibited an inhibitory effect against *S. aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa* (15). essential oil of *C. cyminum* can be used for protection against some bacteria.

Acknowledgements

There is no acknowledgment.

Authors' Contributions

All authors had equal roles in design, work, statistical analysis and manuscript writing.

Financial Disclosure

There was no conflict of interest.

Funding/Support

The study was supported by the Institute of Plant Biotechnology, University of Zabol, IR Iran.

References

- Fuller R. Probiotics in man and animals. *J Appl Bacteriol.* 1989;66(5):365-78.
- Iroha IR, Adikwu MU, Esimone CO, Aibinu I, Amadi ES. Extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) in *E. coli* isolated from a tertiary hospital in Enugu State, Nigeria. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2009;25(2):279-82.
- Forbes BA, Sahm DF, Weissfeld AS. Missouri: Mosby Co; 2007.

4. Reller LB, Weinstein M, Jorgensen JH, Ferraro MJ. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing: a review of general principles and contemporary practices. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;**49**(11):1749-55.
5. Shayan S, Bokaeian M, Shahraki S, Saeidi S. Prevalence of AmpC and ESBL Producing E. coli and Antibacterial Effect of Allim sativum on Clinical Isolates Collected from Zahedan Hospitals. *Zahedan J Res Med Sci*. 2014;**16**(4):6-10.
6. Madani SH, Khazaei S, Kanani M, Shahi M. Antibiotic resistance pattern of E. coli isolated from urine culture in Imam Reza Hospital Kermanshah-2006. *J Kermanshah Univ Med Sci*. 2008;**12**(3):287-95.
7. Heidari-Soureshjani E, Heidari M, Doosti A. Epidemiology of urinary tract infection and antibiotic resistance pattern of E. coli in patients referred to Imam Ali hospital in Farokhshahr, Chaharmahal va Bakhtiari, Iran. *J Shahrekord Univ Med Sci*. 2013;**15**(2):9-15.
8. Sagdic O, kuscü A, Ozcan M, Ozcelik S. Effects of Turkish spice extracts at various concentrations on the growth of Escherichia coli O157:H7. *Food Microbiol*. 2002;**19**:473-80.
9. Agnihotri S, Vaidya AD. A novel approach to study antibacterial properties of volatile components of selected Indian medicinal herbs. *Indian J Exp Biol*. 1996;**34**(7):712-5.
10. Kumral A, Sahin T. Effects of some spice extracts on Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhimurium, Listeria monocytogenes, Yersinia enterocolitica and Enterobacter aerogenes. *Ann Microbiol*. 2003;**53**:427-35.
11. Vaishnavi C, Kaur S, Kaur M. Bactericidal activity of kitchen spices and condiments on enteropathogens. *Nat Prod Radiance*. 2007;**6**(1):40-5.
12. Soniya M, Kuberan T, Anitha S, Sankareswari P. In vitro antibacterial activity of plant extracts against Gram positive and Gram negative pathogenic bacteria. *Int J Microbiol Immunol*. 2013;**2**:1-5.
13. Antimicrobial activity of the essential oils of some spice herbs. In: Stefanini MB, Figueiredo RO, Ming LC, Júnior AF editors. *International Conference on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants (Part II)* 597. 2001 pp. 215-6.
14. Con AH, Ayar A, Gokalp HY. Antimicrobial activity of the essential oils extracted from some spices. *Food*. 1998;**23**:171-5.
15. AkguL A, Kivanc M. Growth of Staphylococcus Aureus in Köfte, a Turkish Ground Meat Product, Containing Laser Trilobum Spice. *J Food Safety*. 1989;**10**(1):11-9.