

A Common Promoter Polymorphism (-23HphI) in Insulin Gene and Susceptibility to Colorectal Cancer

Hossein Nobakht,¹ Touraj Mahmoudi,^{2,*} Mojgan Mirakhorli,³ Reza Dabiri,¹ and Mohammad Reza Zali²

¹Internal Medicine Department, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

²Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases Research Center, Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Colorectal Research Center, Rasoul Akram Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author: Touraj Mahmoudi, MSc, Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases Research Center, Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Velenjak, Shahid Chamran Highway, 198571151, Tehran, Iran. Tel: +98-9124148851, Fax: +98-2122432517, E-mail: mahmouditouraj@gmail.com

Received 2016 December 18; Accepted 2017 May 31.

Abstract

Background: With regard to the major role of insulin resistance in colorectal cancer (CRC), this study investigated whether insulin (*INS*) gene -23HphI variant was associated with susceptibility to CRC risk.

Methods: Our study was conducted as a case-control study and 312 cases with CRC and 438 controls were enrolled. All 750 subjects were genotyped for *INS* gene -23HphI variant using PCR-RFLP method.

Results: There was no significant difference for the -23HphI variant of *INS* gene in either genotype or allele frequencies between the cases and the controls and this lack of difference remained non-significant even after adjustment for age, BMI, sex, smoking status, regular NSAID use, and family history of CRC. No evidence for the effect modification of the association -23HphI variant and CRC by BMI, sex, or tumor site was also observed. Moreover, the risk of obesity in relation to the -23HphI variant in the controls and the cases was separately analyzed and we observed no significant difference between normal weight (BMI < 25 kg/m²) and overweight/obese (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²) subjects.

Conclusions: These findings do not support the plausible effect of the *INS* gene -23HphI variant on CRC risk; nonetheless, our finding requires confirmation and the role of the gene variant in carcinogenesis needs to be further evaluated.

Keywords: Colorectal Cancer, Insulin Gene, PCR-RFLP, Variant

1. Background

Colorectal cancer (CRC), a complex metabolic disease, is a major health problem throughout the world and is the second leading cause of cancer-related mortality (1, 2). Previous epidemiological studies have shown that CRC was associated with insulin resistance and obesity as well as CRC and obesity are related to each other through hyperinsulinemia (3-5). Moreover, an increased susceptibility to CRC in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus has been reported in a large number of previous reports and as we know increased insulin secretion and insulin resistance are involved in the etiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus (6). And lastly, Insulin is implicated in inducing cell proliferation and inhibiting apoptosis (4, 6). Furthermore, insulin can increase the bioavailability and expression of insulin like growth factor 1 (IGF1), which in turn, can regulate cell differentiation and increase metastasis (4, 7, 8).

The association between the gene polymorphisms of insulin signaling pathway and CRC risk has almost widely investigated, however, to our knowledge, just two previous studies (9, 10) explored the implication of the *INS* gene -

23HphI variant with CRC risk, and the role of this gene in the etiology of CRC is still equivocal.

Therefore, these observations led us to look for the possible association of the -23HphI variant located in the promoter of *INS* gene with CRC risk. This polymorphism was selected based on its common use in previous studies, position in the gene, degree of heterozygosity, and somewhat functional importance.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The study population consisted of 750 subjects including 312 cases with CRC and 438 controls reporting to the research institute for gastroenterology and liver diseases (RCGLD), Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. This study was conducted as a case-control study and all the subjects were recruited from patients who were under going colonoscopy either because of various gastrointestinal symptoms or because of they were considered high risk for CRC. Cases were the patient with positive pathologic report for CRC, and eligibility criteria for control subjects in-

cluded no individual history of colorectal malignancy or polyps (including adenomatous and other polyps). All patients and controls were Iranian and genetically unrelated. Before subject's colonoscopy, self administration had been used to collect the information about their demographic, anthropometric, and clinical characteristics. The present study was approved by the ethical committee of the institute and all study participants were informed about the aims of the study and gave written consents.

2.2. Genotype Analysis

Blood samples from all the subjects were collected in tubes containing EDTA as an anticoagulant and store at 4°C. Genomic DNA was purified from peripheral blood leucocytes using standard "phenol chloroform" method. In this study, genotyping was done by using PCR-RFLP method. Also, genotyping of the *INS* gene was performed by investigators who were blinded to the participants' clinical data. The -23HphI variant was evaluated by a PCR that amplified a 441 bp fragment using a forward (5'-TCCAGGACAGGCTGCATCAG-3') and a reverse (5'-AGCAATGGGCGGTTGGCTCA-3') primer. The PCR reaction was run at 93°C for 10 min followed by 35 cycles of 93°C for 45 seconds, 57°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 45 seconds, and final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. The PCR products were digested overnight with restriction enzyme Alw26I (Fermentas, Leon-Rot, Germany) at 37°C and the RFLP products were run on 3.5% agarose gel, and stained with ethidium bromide for visualization under UV light. *INS* genotypes of each subject were identified according to the digestion pattern and alleles according to the absence ("A") or presence ("T") of the Alw26I site. Alw26I digestion reveals genotypes denoted AA (441bp), AT (441, 230 bp and 211 bp), or TT (230 bp and 211 bp). The concordance of genotyping was confirmed by duplicate analysis of approximately 15% of the samples and DNA sequencing of approximately 2% of the samples that all of them were selected randomly (all results were accurate).

2.3. Statistical Methods

We calculated differences in demographic or anthropometric factors using t-test or χ^2 test when appropriate. Testing Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for the *INS* gene -23HphI variant among cases and controls, separately, and comparisons of the distribution of the allele frequencies between the groups were performed using the χ^2 test. Comparisons of the distribution of the genotype frequencies between the different groups were performed using the logistic regression. Logistic regression analysis was also used to adjust for confounders such as for age, BMI, sex, smoking status, regular NSAID use, and family history

of CRC. The odds ratios (OR) are given with the respective 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). SPSS statistical package (version 15.0; SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyze the data. In all statistical tests, a P value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Clinicopathological Analysis

Selected characteristics of the study population and their statistical significance are summarized in Table 1. On average, cases with CRC were older ($P < 0.001$) and less likely to use NSAIDs ($P < 0.001$) when compared with their control counterparts. However, there were no significant differences between the cases with CRC and the controls in terms of BMI, sex, smoking status, and family history of colorectal cancer.

3.2. *INS* gene -23HphI Variant Analysis

The distribution of genotypes and alleles of the *INS* gene -23HphI variant in cases with CRC and controls are provided in Table 2. As shown in Table 2, no significant difference was observed in genotype and allele frequencies between the cases with CRC and the controls for the -23HphI polymorphism. Furthermore, after adjustment for age, BMI, sex, smoking status, regular NSAID use, and family history of CRC, no significant association between the polymorphism and the risk of CRC was found.

Additionally, when we stratified the analyses by tumor site (Table 3) or sex (Table 4), we found no statistically significant differences in the *INS* gene -23HphI variant either before or after adjustment for confounding factors. We also conducted a breakdown comparison between cases and controls within different BMI categories (Table 5). In the comparison between normal weight controls and normal weight cases with CRC, as well as in the comparison between overweight/obese controls and overweight/obese cases with CRC, we found no differences between these groups with respect to allele and genotype frequencies of the -23HphI variant either before or after adjustment for age, sex, smoking status, and family history of CRC.

Finally, in this study the risk of obesity in relation to the *INS* gene -23HphI variant was also examined (data not shown). We observed no significant difference in genotype and allele frequencies between the normal weight cases with CRC and overweight/obese cases with CRC and between normal weight controls and overweight/obese controls for the -23HphI variant.

Table 1. Selected Characteristics of the Study Subjects^a

| Variables | Controls (N = 438) | Cases (N = 312) | P Value |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age, y | 44.2 (16.2) | 55.8 (12.9) | < 0.001 |
| BMI, kg/m ² | 25.2 (3.9) | 25.8 (5.4) | 0.092 |
| Gender | | | 0.151 |
| Men | 221 (50.5) | 174 (55.8) | |
| Women | 217 (49.5) | 138 (44.2) | |
| Smoking status | | | 0.294 |
| Never smoker | 369 (84.2) | 260 (83.3) | |
| Former smoker | 56 (12.8) | 36 (11.6) | |
| Current smoker | 13 (3.0) | 16 (5.1) | |
| Regular NSAID use | | | < 0.001 |
| No | 357 (81.5) | 302 (96.8) | |
| Yes | 81 (18.5) | 10 (3.2) | |
| Family history of colorectal cancer | | | 0.473 |
| No | 392 (89.5) | 274 (87.8) | |
| Yes | 46 (10.5) | 38 (12.2) | |
| Tumor location | | | - |
| Colon | - | 203 (65.1) | |
| Rectum | - | 109 (34.9) | |
| Metastasis | | | - |
| No | - | 286 (91.7) | |
| Yes | - | 26 (8.3) | |
| HNPCC | | | - |
| No | - | 288 (92.3) | |
| Yes | - | 24 (7.7) | |

^aVariables presented as mean (SD) or number (%).

4. Discussion

We conducted a case-control study to explore the possible association between the *INS* gene -23HphI variant and CRC risk. In the present study, no statistically significant difference was found for this polymorphism in either genotype or allele frequencies between the cases with CRC and the controls and this lack of difference remained non-significant even after adjustment for age, BMI, sex, smoking status, regular NSAID use, and family history of CRC. Furthermore, no evidence for effect modification of the association -23HphI variant and CRC by BMI, sex, or tumor site was observed. In addition, the -23HphI variant was not associated with the risk of obesity in controls and cases with CRC.

4.1. *INS* Gene -23HphI Variant

At the present time, CRC is considered as a multifactorial disease that might result from the interaction between genetic as well as environmental factors. Nevertheless, the number and nature of genes that influence susceptibility to CRC are mostly unknown. The links between insulin resistance, obesity and CRC have previously been noted, and therefore the major role of insulin pathway signaling in the etiology of CRC have been clarified. Furthermore, insulin signaling pathway related genes are likely to affect the pathogenesis of CRC due to the significant role of insulin resistance and obesity in this cancer. Having said that, however, the association studies of the effects of insulin pathway gene variants on CRC risk have been inconclusive, and unfortunately, such inconsistencies are common in genetic association studies (11, 12). These discrepancies might be owing to differences in the genetic and/or environmental factors triggering the development of CRC, the exact definition of the disease, small sample size, differences in the statistical methods, and finally possible linkage disequilibrium with the other unknown variants.

To date, just two epidemiological studies (9, 10) have evaluated the association between the *INS* gene -23HphI variant and the risk of CRC. Both of these studies could not find any significant association. Moreover, there is another study which investigated the association between the *INS* gene variant and advanced colorectal adenoma and again no association was found (13). Interestingly enough, our study found no significant association between the *INS* gene -23HphI variant and CRC risk too. Previous studies have demonstrated higher serum level of insulin in CRC patients than controls (5) and insulin therapy may boost the CRC risk (14). The -23HphI polymorphism is situated in the promoter region and hence its sequence alterations can affect the expression of *INS*. It has also been reported that the -23HphI variant is in complete linkage disequilibrium with the VNTR classes. The short class I VNTR alleles which are in linkage disequilibrium with 'A' allele of the -23HphI polymorphism are associated with the increased expression level of *INS* gene, while the long class III alleles are associated with the 'T' allele of the -23HphI variant (15). However, in spite of the biological plausibility, this study did not recommend that the -23HphI polymorphism of *INS* gene might play a role in CRC pathogenesis in the Iranian population. Nonetheless, further studies with increased numbers of CRC patients in other populations are required to confirm these findings.

4.2. Study Limitations

A number of limitations of this study merit to be considered. The first limitation is the relatively small sam-

Table 2. The Genotype and Allele Frequencies of Insulin (*INS*) Gene -23HphI Variant in Cases with Colorectal Cancer and Controls^a

| Variant | Controls (N = 438) | Cases (N = 312) | Crude | | Adjusted ^b | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|--|
| | | | OR (95% CI) | P value | OR (95% CI) | P value | |
| -23HphI T > A | | | | | | | |
| Genotype-wise comparison | | | | | | | |
| AA | 277 (63.2) | 196 (62.8) | 1.0 (reference) | | 1.0 (reference) | | |
| AT | 94 (21.5) | 79 (25.3) | 1.18 (0.83 - 1.68) | 0.336 | 1.16 (0.78 - 1.73) | 0.454 | |
| TT | 67 (15.3) | 37 (11.9) | 0.78 (0.50 - 1.21) | 0.271 | 0.72 (0.44 - 1.17) | 0.190 | |
| TT and AT | 161 (36.8) | 116 (37.2) | 1.01 (0.75 - 1.37) | 0.906 | 0.97 (0.69 - 1.36) | 0.864 | |
| TT versus others | 67 (15.3) | 37 (11.9) | 0.75 (0.48 - 1.15) | 0.180 | 0.69 (0.43 - 1.11) | 0.132 | |
| Allele-wise comparison | | | | | | | |
| A | 648 (74.0) | 471 (75.5) | 1.0 (reference) | | - | - | |
| T | 228 (26.0) | 153 (24.5) | 0.92 (0.72 - 1.17) | 0.508 | - | - | |

^aVariables presented as number (%).^bAdjusted for age, BMI, sex, smoking status, regular NSAID use, and family history.**Table 3.** The Association Between Insulin (*INS*) Gene -23HphI Variant and Risk of Colon and Rectal Cancers After Adjustment for Age, BMI, Sex, Smoking Status, Regular NSAID use, and Family History^a

| Variant | Control (N = 438) | Colon (N = 203) | OR (95%CI) | P Value | Control (N = 438) | Rectal (N = 109) | OR (95%CI) | P Value |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------|
| -23HphI T > A | | | | | | | | |
| Genotype-wise comparison | | | | | | | | |
| AA | 277 (63.2) | 128 (63.1) | 1.0 (reference) | | 277 (63.2) | 68 (62.4) | 1.0 (reference) | |
| AT | 94 (21.5) | 50 (24.6) | 1.11 (0.70 - 1.72) | 0.674 | 94 (21.5) | 29 (26.6) | 1.29 (0.73 - 2.30) | 0.369 |
| TT | 67 (15.3) | 25 (12.3) | 0.74 (0.43 - 1.29) | 0.297 | 67 (15.3) | 12 (11.0) | 0.73 (0.35 - 1.49) | 0.395 |
| TT and AT | 161 (36.8) | 75 (36.9) | 0.94 (0.64 - 1.38) | 0.786 | 161 (36.8) | 41 (37.6) | 1.03 (0.63 - 1.68) | 0.885 |
| TT versus others | 67 (15.3) | 25 (12.3) | 0.72 (0.42 - 1.25) | 0.246 | 67 (15.3) | 12 (11.0) | 0.68 (0.34 - 1.38) | 0.294 |
| Allele-wise comparison | | | | | | | | |
| A | 648 (74.0) | 306 (75.4) | 1.0 (reference) | | 648 (74.0) | 165 (75.7) | 1.0 (reference) | |
| T | 228 (26.0) | 100 (24.6) | 0.92 (0.70 - 1.21) | 0.594 | 228 (26.0) | 53 (24.3) | 0.90 (0.68 - 1.15) | 0.584 |

^aVariables presented as number (%).

ple size and hence the genotype differences may be attributable strictly to chance. The second is that we examined only one polymorphism in *INS* gene. For this reason the coverage of the gene remains to be determined. The third is lacking of data on serum glucose and insulin levels as well as insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR), which in turn, could change the results of this study. The fourth is that our study was a hospital-based study and the population may not be representative of the general population and thus selection bias may have existed. Accordingly, we could not totally rule out the possibility of chance findings.

Nonetheless, in spite of these limitations, our study protocol was well designed and the possibility of true findings should not be excluded too.

To put it briefly, all the observed findings lead us to the conclusion that in this case-control study, the -23HphI variant located in the promoter of *INS* gene does not seem to affect the development of CRC in the Iranian population. Further large-scale studies in other populations, however, are warranted to confirm our findings.

Table 4. The Association Between Genotypes and Alleles of Insulin (INS) Gene -23HphI Variant and Colorectal Cancer Risk According to Sex Category After Adjustment for Age, BMI, Smoking Status, Regular NSAID use, and Family History^a

| Variant | Male | | | | Female | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Control (n=221) | Case (n=174) | OR (95%CI) | P value | Control (n=217) | Case (n=138) | OR (95%CI) | P value |
| -23HphI T > A | | | | | | | | |
| Genotype-wise comparison | | | | | | | | |
| AA | 143 (64.7) | 114 (65.5) | 1.0 (reference) | | 134 (61.8) | 82 (59.4) | 1.0 (reference) | |
| AT | 46 (20.8) | 41 (23.6) | 1.16 (0.67 - 2.02) | 0.597 | 48 (22.1) | 38 (27.5) | 1.16 (0.65 - 2.05) | 0.609 |
| TT | 32 (14.5) | 19 (10.9) | 0.73 (0.37 - 1.47) | 0.381 | 35 (16.1) | 18 (13.1) | 0.72 (0.36 - 1.44) | 0.362 |
| TT and AT | 78 (35.3) | 60 (34.5) | 0.98 (0.61 - 1.56) | 0.932 | 83 (38.2) | 56 (40.6) | 0.96 (0.59 - 1.57) | 0.897 |
| TT versus others | 32 (14.5) | 19 (10.9) | 0.70 (0.35 - 1.39) | 0.319 | 35 (16.1) | 18 (13.1) | 0.69 (0.35 - 1.36) | 0.288 |
| Allele-wise comparison | | | | | | | | |
| A | 332 (75.1) | 269 (77.3) | 1.0 (reference) | | 316 (72.8) | 202 (73.2) | 1.0 (reference) | |
| T | 110 (24.9) | 79 (22.7) | 0.88 (0.63 - 1.23) | 0.475 | 118 (27.2) | 74 (26.8) | 0.98 (0.69 - 1.37) | 0.912 |

^aVariables presented as number (%).**Table 5.** The Association Between Insulin (INS) Gene -23HphI Variant and Colorectal Cancer Risk According to BMI Category After Adjustment for Age, Sex, Smoking Status, Regular NSAID Use, and Family History^a

| Variant | Normal weight, BMI < 25 kg/m ² | | | | Overweight/obese, BMI ≥ 25 kg/m ² | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------|--------------------|---------|--|----------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Control (n = 211) | Case (n = 139) | OR (95%CI) | P value | Control (n = 227) | Case (n = 173) | OR (95%CI) | P value |
| -23HphI T > A | | | | | | | | |
| Genotype-wise comparison | | | | | | | | |
| AA | 130 (61.6) | 90 (64.7) | 1.0 (reference) | | 147 (64.7) | 106 (61.3) | 1.0 (reference) | |
| AT | 45 (21.3) | 35 (25.2) | 1.07 (0.60 - 1.92) | 0.806 | 49 (21.6) | 44 (25.4) | 1.21 (0.70 - 2.10) | 0.486 |
| TT | 36 (17.1) | 14 (10.1) | 0.52 (0.25 - 1.10) | 0.090 | 31 (13.7) | 23 (13.3) | 0.91 (0.49 - 1.78) | 0.790 |
| TT and AT | 81 (38.4) | 49 (35.3) | 0.82 (0.50 - 1.36) | 0.453 | 80 (35.3) | 67 (38.7) | 1.09 (0.68 - 1.74) | 0.717 |
| TT versus others | 36 (17.1) | 14 (10.1) | 0.51 (0.25 - 1.07) | 0.074 | 31 (13.7) | 23 (13.3) | 0.86 (0.45 - 1.65) | 0.666 |
| Allele-wise comparison | | | | | | | | |
| A | 305 (72.3) | 215 (77.3) | 1.0 (reference) | | 343 (75.6) | 256 (74.0) | 1.0 (reference) | |
| T | 117 (27.7) | 63 (22.7) | 0.76 (0.53 - 1.08) | 0.134 | 111 (24.4) | 90 (26.0) | 1.24 (0.89 - 1.54) | 0.192 |

^aVariables presented as number (%).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all patients and healthy blood donors for providing blood samples. This work was supported by a grant from gastroenterology and liver diseases research institute, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: None declared.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure: None declared.

References

1. Jemal A, Tiwari RC, Murray T, Ghafoor A, Samuels A, Ward E, et al. Can-

- cer statistics, 2004. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2004;**54**(1):8–29. doi: [10.3322/canjclin.54.1.8](https://doi.org/10.3322/canjclin.54.1.8). [PubMed: [14974761](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14974761/)].
2. Globocan. IARC International Agency for Research on Cancer. .
 3. Caan BJ, Coates AO, Slattery ML, Potter JD, Quesenberry CJ, Edwards SM. Body size and the risk of colon cancer in a large case-control study. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 1998;**22**(2):178–84. doi: [10.1038/sj.ijo.0800561](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0800561). [PubMed: [9504326](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9504326/)].
 4. Giovannucci E. Insulin, insulin-like growth factors and colon cancer: a review of the evidence. *J Nutr.* 2001;**131**(11 Suppl):3109S–20S. [PubMed: [11694656](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11694656/)].
 5. Limburg PJ, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Vierkant RA, Roberts K, Sellers TA, Taylor PR, et al. Insulin, glucose, insulin resistance, and incident colorectal cancer in male smokers. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2006;**4**(12):1514–21. doi: [10.1016/j.cgh.2006.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2006.09.014). [PubMed: [17162243](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17162243/)].
 6. Larsson SC, Orsini N, Wolk A. Diabetes mellitus and risk of colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2005;**97**(22):1679–87. doi: [10.1093/jnci/dji375](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dji375). [PubMed: [16288121](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16288121/)].
 7. Baxter RC, Turtle JR. Regulation of hepatic growth hormone receptors by insulin. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1978;**84**(2):350–7. doi: [10.1016/0006-291X\(78\)90177-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-291X(78)90177-8). [PubMed: [214071](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/214071/)].
 8. Bjorndahl M, Cao R, Nissen LJ, Clasper S, Johnson LA, Xue Y, et al. Insulin-like growth factors 1 and 2 induce lymphangiogenesis in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005;**102**(43):15593–8. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0507865102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507865102). [PubMed: [16230630](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16230630/)].
 9. Pechlivanis S, Pardini B, Bermejo JL, Wagner K, Naccarati A, Vodickova L, et al. Insulin pathway related genes and risk of colorectal cancer: INSR promoter polymorphism shows a protective effect. *Endocr Relat Cancer.* 2007;**14**(3):733–40. doi: [10.1677/ERC-07-0107](https://doi.org/10.1677/ERC-07-0107). [PubMed: [17914103](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17914103/)].
 10. Mahmoudi T, Majidzadeh AK, Karimi K, Karimi N, Farahani H, Dabiri R, et al. An exon variant in insulin receptor gene is associated with susceptibility to colorectal cancer in women. *Tumour Biol.* 2015;**36**(5):3709–15. doi: [10.1007/s13277-014-3010-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-014-3010-x). [PubMed: [25557790](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25557790/)].
 11. Ioannidis JP, Ntzani EE, Trikalinos TA, Contopoulos-Ioannidis DG. Replication validity of genetic association studies. *Nat Genet.* 2001;**29**(3):306–9. doi: [10.1038/ng749](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng749). [PubMed: [11600885](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11600885/)].
 12. Lohmueller KE, Pearce CL, Pike M, Lander ES, Hirschhorn JN. Meta-analysis of genetic association studies supports a contribution of common variants to susceptibility to common disease. *Nat Genet.* 2003;**33**(2):177–82. doi: [10.1038/ng1071](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1071). [PubMed: [12524541](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12524541/)].
 13. Gunter MJ, Hayes RB, Chatterjee N, Yeager M, Welch R, Schoen RE, et al. Insulin resistance-related genes and advanced left-sided colorectal adenoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2007;**16**(4):703–8. doi: [10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0849](https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0849). [PubMed: [17416760](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17416760/)].
 14. Wang L, Cai S, Teng Z, Zhao X, Chen X, Bai X. Insulin therapy contributes to the increased risk of colorectal cancer in diabetes patients: a meta-analysis. *Diagn Pathol.* 2013;**8**:180. doi: [10.1186/1746-1596-8-180](https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-1596-8-180). [PubMed: [24175949](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24175949/)].
 15. Vafiadis P, Bennett ST, Todd JA, Nadeau J, Grabs R, Goodyer CG, et al. Insulin expression in human thymus is modulated by INS VNTR alleles at the IDDM2 locus. *Nat Genet.* 1997;**15**(3):289–92. doi: [10.1038/ng0397-289](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng0397-289). [PubMed: [9054944](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9054944/)].